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ABSTRACT:
Czech newspaper editors feel a strong inner professional conflict concerning following professional dilemma: to be a moral agent focused on serious problems of society or a craftsman who has generally given up on higher standards of journalistic work and tries to conform to popular standard of general audience. The presented paper is based upon the research project - ”Czech newspaper editors” which was undertaken between June-August 2007. The main objective of this study was to show how Czech newspaper editors changed their editorial strategy after the collapse of the former media system in the 1990s and to what extent they have adapted to the pressure of economic rationalization and the commercialization of the media sector. The second objective was to describe criteria that editors instinctively use to evaluate what readers want to consume.
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At the beginning of the 1990s, the Czech media landscape underwent a form of shock therapy. For decades, the Czech media system had been "frozen" and it took little account of new trends in media in Western Europe and rejected any corrective measures until the last moment (unlike the Hungarian or Polish media systems). Process of transformation took place within following socio-political context: the public space expropriated and ruled by the Leninist doctrine that defined media as an instrument of centralized propaganda controlled by a single state party. In other words media were instruments of the "awareness of the masses". Given these conditions, the transformation process involved a steep learning curve and was primarily influenced by economics, and not by any normative or "standard" model of media systems in Western countries. The end result has brought a fundamental change in the audience habits and journalistic professional strategies.
After almost 20 years spent by searching for a new media system primarily one transformational aspect has been primarily realized - media commercialization. This rapid process has initiated a critical debate that focuses on this trend, which according to some critics, leads to abolishing differences between qualities and tabloids. Four critical sub-discourses can be detected in Czech debate:

- the first discourse reflects the question as to whether the rise of commercialization and tabloidization weakens the power of the journalistic community as a fourth estate, and to what extent it inhibits the nurturing of a new democracy as well,
- the second discourse sees commercialization as a result of the fast rise of new information and communication technologies and growing economic and cultural globalisation,
- the third accentuates the fact that quality press adopts the standards of tabloids and is more and more contaminated by their news values and strategies,
- and last but not least, the commercialization of media is described as a process of substitution for professional journalistic instinct with expertise, through market analysis of audience habits and preferences.

“Market-analysis journalism” gives up a great part of its personal and institutional autonomy to specialized agencies which imperatively define what readers want.

Many critics consider a key problem to be the “mechanism of infection”, which more and more contributes to a contamination of the media space of serious newspapers by commercial and tabloid practices. The key symptom of “media infection” can be described as a change of editorial strategy away from news and information towards the emphasis on readability and entertainment. This process of commercial transformation of the Czech media sector brings new professional “standards” and demands. It faces Czech journalists with new professional dilemmas.

After twenty years of transformation process we can call Czech media system as “mixed” or simply “deviant” from the “standard” Hallin and Mancini’s models (2004). It includes not only some attributes of liberal and polarized pluralist model, but it is also characterized by some incomparable local political and professional aspects. This article tries to indicate some of these specific professional journalistic attributes which play an important role in the process the professional transformation of Czech journalists. In other words, we will analyze how quality daily editors cope with the process of tabloidization.

Commercialization: key condition for successful transformation of Czech media system

First transformation phase of Czech media system at the beginning of 1990s affected newspaper market. Fast and unprecedented privatization and commercialization of press media have affected journalism as well. Czech newspapers were faced the biggest challenge in their history. They had to reconsider old publishing goals and especially marketing strategies. The process of commercialization changed the aims of media production and some of functions of print media in general. It was especially commercialization which cut old Communist and new post-Communist media system. As many theorists show, the processes of commercialization and marketization which included sub-processes of ecomonization, rationalization and commodification of media had profound effects on the transformation of post-communist media systems (Sparks, 2000).

The commercialization is usually seen as a process whereby the emphasis on market share and profitability in media production becomes predominant potentially at the expense of other media functions such as political, social and cultural roles (Gulyás, 2003: 84). In other words, most media are directed to a dominant objective to increase, influence and organize commercial transactions through advertising. Spichal (2001) defines this process laconically as a competition for consumers which become the ultimate goal. Entertainment has superseded the provision of information; human interest has supplanted the public interest. Franklin (1998: 5) speaks about 'Newszak' to describe 'news as a product designed and “processed” for a particular market and delivered in increasingly homogeneous “snippets” which make only modest demands on the audience. Newszak is news converted into entertainment’. The commercialization of Czech quality media started in the first half of the 1990s and was simultaneously tied to the following seven key processes, which in some sense standardized the Czech media system with the situation in Western European media. These processes were:

- the changing political function of the media
- an emergence of new media companies (most of them were international media corporations or were owned by global media players),
- an increasing competition which became a dominant feature of the liberalization of media market. As a result, concentration rates fluctuated. Quality dailies segment tended to be more concentrated, while lifestyle magazines operated in most competitive environments,
- a change in media finance. Getting revenue from product advertising became a dominant way of financing print media,
- an increase of new market (global) segments,
- emergence of market research,
- and last but not least there were changes in media consumption patterns.

Media audiences generally became more fragmented. Especially print media tried to offer a new type of newspaper content for different target groups. There was vast proliferation of new media titles especially with lifestyle editorial agenda. The most successful are still tabloid newspapers and women’s magazines – the print segments which had been underdeveloped in old regime.

Customarily, tabloidization is framed as a broad-based cultural movement, most visible in certain media forms, which is made possible by the increasing commercialization of modern life and a corresponding decline in ‘traditional values’. Tabloidization first means an increase of less newsworthy elements in the news; second, it means that popular and tabloid elements of secondary importance in the news are consciously or unconsciously placed in the foreground and the news content increasingly deviates from its real source. Third, process of tabloidization of the news means less coverage of international stories, little attention to politics and the economy but more to human interest and entertainment news stories, sport, scandals, people’s private lives. We see a shift away from the daily news agenda towards editorially generated items, away from information-based treatments of social issues and towards entertaining stories on lifestyles or celebrities. Tabloidization employs tactics of representation which entrap and exploit its subjects.

Generally we can say that tabloidization of Czech press media has affected the underlying journalistic norms and routines according to Western liberal professional model. Especially, the ethical aspects of journalistic work were completely suppressed in the name of ‘freedom of speech’. Actually it was “freedom to lower ethical standards”. Contemporary professional role of Czech journalists has one key common aspect – the commercialization of their editorial practices and generally editorial media policy.

Key role has been played by television journalistic approach which strongly influences press media. The entry of the private televisions and radio channels and internet news has caused the change in the ways in which the public acquires information. Most newspapers react on trend by mimic the model of television journalism to prevent the decrease of readers. This type of newspapers editing inspired by principles of television framing has included especially following editorial rules: a) entertainment must predominate, readership is the central goal; b) nothing is ‘newsworthily’ if there are no visuals (photos, graphics); c) every story must be ‘news’, there must be a new ‘hook’ and some kind of appealing ‘story line’ with ‘broad appeal’. Alongside these aspects of commercial editorial strategy help to create a sense of ‘spurious immediateness’.

This market-driven orientation fundamentally influences Czech journalists. It was the most important framework of Czech “new” journalism which has started to form itself after the collapse of old media system. We can identify following changes:

- a strong visualization of news stories
- a gradual convergence with tabloid agenda
- more stories focused of everyday life agenda
Tabloidization of Czech quality dailies: key condition for their economical survival

According to some analysts, the process of commercialization and tabloidization of quality press was one of the key conditions for a successful transformation of post-communist media systems (Sparks, 2000), as this mechanism stimulated media consumption and consequently stabilized the media market in the uncertain times of the ownership transformation which took place in the 1990s.

Many print media sectors which were underdeveloped or non-existent during the Communist era expanded during the 1990s. We can identify three structural changes:

- a decrease in the number of serious media titles,
- an increase in the number of lifestyle and tabloid media titles,
- a decrease in circulation of serious media titles.

Table 1 compares the number of national daily newspapers with the number of tabloid dailies. We can see a clear trend here. The number of national dailies decreased considerably during the second half of 1990s. This trend continues in the first decade of the new century.

The circulation of qualities decreased more than two times the level of the beginning of the 1990s (figure 1). On the other hand, we can see the most dynamic increase of titles in the segment of lifestyle, hobby, fashion and women magazines (Table 1). As shows Figure 2 the segment of weeklies still keeps the highest circulation.

At the end of the 1990s, the sales of these magazines grew more than two times the level of the beginning of the 1990s (figure 2).

If we compare market share of Czech qualities with Western European and United States qualities, we can see a completely different picture. The market position of tabloids is stronger in these countries (Bed, 1992; Sparks, 1992). This difference begs several questions. How is it possible that the market position of tabloids is weaker than the position of qualities? How can it be that Czech quality dailies have still managed to resist the competition with tabloids? (Fig. 1, Table 2).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>QUALITIES</th>
<th>AVERAGE NUMBER OF COPIES</th>
<th>TABLOIDS</th>
<th>AVERAGE NUMBER OF COPIES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>QUALITIES</td>
<td></td>
<td>TABLOIDS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meda fintona dny</td>
<td>322 771</td>
<td>Blesk</td>
<td>455 000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pravo</td>
<td>157 031</td>
<td>Alka</td>
<td>114 238</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lidové noviny</td>
<td>75 413</td>
<td>Sip</td>
<td>55 287</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospodářské noviny</td>
<td>59 390</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>614 545</td>
<td>594 525</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This situation is, in our opinion, partly due to the fact that traditional Czech dailies transformed themselves in the 1990s into market type quality press and apply many tabloid editorial practices. They manage to be more like semi-quality press which has mixed formerly incompatible editorial ingredients.

It concurs with the opinion of many theorists who see that rapid process of tabloidization leads to abolishing differences between qualities and tabloids. They consider the commercialization of media as a process of substitution for professional journalistic instinct with expertise, through market analysis of audience habits and preferences. “Market-analysis journalism” gives up a great part of its personal and institutional autonomy to specialized agencies which imperatively define what readers want (Hard, Brennen, 1995).

But their editorial priorities have changed. This change is dialectically bound up with shifting taste of the media audience in general. Entertainment has never been so pronounced in Czech quality press. It reflects an unprecedented congruence of longer-term changes in the financial, organizational and regulatory structures of news media combined with a deregulatory impulse provided by government media policy. Production of news has responded to this pressure by reordering principles of selection, composition and representation. Tabloidization is the outcome of the characteristics of the current media industry: especially the fierce competition between big media groups for ratings, the low investment in experienced employees and the consequent decrease in investigative reporting. What McLachlan and Golding (2000: 88) argue in the British case is true for the Czech case as well: it is related more to a ‘broad shift in the political economy of the communications and information industries than to a transient loss of professional direction in one of its occupational spheres’. Basically speaking, the tabloidization of media means a change within the philosophy of journalism.

Editors as key co-authors, gatekeepers and agenda setters have played an important role in this process. Their role is irreplaceable and we hypothesize that it is because of their editorial policy and strategy that a surprising quantitative domination of qualities in the Czech press market has been maintained.

However, we can see a clear indication of slump. Primarily weekly magazines decreased in circulation considerably over the last three years. Reasons for the decrease included market saturation, increased competition within and from outside the market segment and changing media consumption patterns which were mostly stimulated by the process of commercialization.
New editors: combination of creative and business skills

The professional role of Czech daily editors has changed dramatically since the beginning of the 1990s. Above all, they have played a more active role in a wider range of activities than editors who worked in state-controlled newspapers. Generally, we can say that editors or sub-editors are responsible for the editorial policy of the newspaper; they control the gathering of news and their processing and are fully responsible for the general design of the newspaper and participate in promotion and marketing. In this sense they have direct impact on the extent of commercialization in the newspaper. But in comparison with the majority of journalists they have played an important role in the "backstage of media" institution. They are not visible but their professional behavior is not only more authentic but especially more complex. Visual performances of their colleagues working "on the stage" – on print page or on television screen – are in some sense only products of editorial creation at Goffman's "back region" (Goffman, 1959). Today editors play de facto three creative roles: producer, director and writer. It is clear, however, that so-called entrepreneurial editors tend to engage more and more in managing duties. Generally speaking, later-modern journalists are today forced to combine their traditional role as news reporters and interpreters of social reality with routine economic and technological requirements of media market (Volek and Jirák, 2007).

The commercialization of whole Czech media sector has affected journalists’ professional values and generally professional ideology. This change has affected especially the work of editors. The most momentous working imperative for them was to adjust most effectively market supply and demand. Czech editors became preci- pitionally the content producers, which have to be market-oriented by conducting production in accordance with the audiences’ needs. In other words, they have to be audience-oriented and directed. But they were not trained neither in this market driven professional philosophy, or mentally prepared to accept this new professional role. The majority of them defend it by arguing that they must react to the socio-political changes that have transformed reading expectations of the mass audience. But it is necessary to stress that some changes of professional standards were due to the fact of massive personal transformation of editorial staff of majority of newspapers. A new generation of professionally untrained and easily-manipulated journalists - beginners appeared and the middle generation disappeared. This trend was especially significant in the local and regional media where we identified a process of de-professionalization and proletarization of journalistic profession in the 1990s (Volek, 2007).

It is questionable whether such Czech journalist can stand above ownership influences. But it is important to note that with the end of state media monopoly, Czech journalists have moved rapidly from ideologically centralized journalism to market-oriented journalism. Czech journalists are not primarily ideologically manipulated. Newspapers’ editorial agenda define economic influences. The commercialization of Czech media has replaced their old regime political centralization. However, it is clear that this process is not ideologically neutral. The idea of censorship has been substituted by profit-censorship in Czech media.

Methodology and research questions

In our research we tried to answer following research questions:

- What are the main professional dilemmas of Czech daily editors?
- To what extent do these editors prefer tabloid editorial practices?
- How do they cope with everyday professional situations when they are pressured to abandon those professional standards which they consider to be the pillars of quality journalism but restrain circulation?

To answer these questions we used data from two of our empirical studies. The first and basic one took place in August 2007. We asked 48 editors working at nationwide and regional newspapers. Our method combined a quantitative screening (questionnaire) and qualitative in-depth interviews. The category of editor we defined in the broader sense and therefore included both editors-in-chief or managing editors and sub-editors - journalists who are responsible for some part of a newspaper/column, etc. Table 3 shows basic characteristics of selected editors. The quantitative part of the research was designed to describe the general attitude of respondents towards journalistic professional values and especially concerning their criteria for quality press. We aimed at reaching practical perceptions of his/her job and practical perceptions of particular editorial strategies which they commonly use. In the second qualitative part of the research we asked editors how to define serious editorial policy and to what extent they are disposed to agree with commercial and tabloid strategy.

For a general description of the whole Czech journalistic community we used some data which comes from a research done in July-August 2003 and which focused primarily on the professional self-image of Czech journalists in general. 4

---

3 The term entrepreneurial editorship was first used by Jeremy Tunstal to describe the increased business involvement of the editor, which is expected today to innovate and to take a creative risk (Tunstal, 2001: 116).

4 The primary sample of editors consisted of 330 journalists working in 76 newspapers. The basic criteria how to select respondents was following: a/ at first we selected newspapers according to 12 sectors (South Bohemia, Southern Bohemia etc.) and consecutively we ask at least two newspapers a region to cooperate with our research, b/ in each newspaper we questioned maximally two respondents, c/ generally we tried to keep socio-demographical proportions of respondents which the survey “Czech Journalist” came to in 2003. The questioning was mostly carried out in the editorial offices and the average length of the interview did not exceed sixty minutes. We also tried to keep socio-demographical proportions of respondents which the survey “Czech Journalist” came to in 2003. The questioning was mostly carried out in the editorial offices and the average length of the interview did not exceed sixty minutes. In order to define the probability sample, we utilized the database of Czech printed and audio-visual media. The selected criteria were a market share in the media in current reporting-publishing production for the general public. The category of journalist as a basic unit of analysis was defined according to the majority conception indicated in international studies as an editorial member, who directly shares in the formulation of the editorial agenda as their full-time or part-time work. The primary sample consisted of 2,585 journalists.

---

Table 1: Socio-demographic characteristics of editors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SEX</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>AGE</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>30–39</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>40–49</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Total  | 100 | 48  |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Education</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Scope of newspaper</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>University degree</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>Nationwide</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High School</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>Regional</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Total  | 100 | 48  |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Work position</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Editor-in-chief managing editor</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sub-editor</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Total  | 100 | 48  |
Key dilemmas of Czech newspaper editors

Generally speaking our research indicates that Czech newspaper editors use two types of assessments of their work. The first is based on the idea of the journalist as an independent agent of the public whose duty is to cultivate readers. It is in some way form of manifest defensive professional mechanism. The second approach is not as apparent as the first approach. It is rather a latent legitimization of inescapable commercial influences and consequent editorial practice: having sprung from dominant economic and market logic. The majority of editors see this logic as the antithesis of quality journalism, but they have resigned to oppose this logic. They are split between the demands of professional standards of quality press and the unavoidable pressure of economic reality. In other words, Czech newspaper editors have to permanently resolve the same dilemma: to be a moral agent focused on serious problems of society or a craftsman who has generally given up on higher standards of journalistic work and tries to conform to popular standard of general audience. They resolve the dilemma how to profitably sell ideas, attitudes and information which they consider to be important for the general welfare of society. In this sense, editors are continually testing the willingness of readers to consume the offered media content, while their tendency to maintain distance and freedom from the dominant principle of economic profitability still plays an important role. We will try to show how Czech editors reconcile this conflict between different professional criteria.

First dilemma. How to increase circulation: to change content or marketing strategy?

The key dilemma, which editors have to resolve, concerns general economic and editorial strategy. How to increase circulation? To change the content or to change the marketing strategy – meaning or promotion and distribution? As Table 4 shows, both groups of editors believe more in the effectiveness of marketing technology to increase circulation. To change the content or to change the marketing strategy – meaning or promotion and distribution? As Table 4 shows, both groups of editors believe more in the effectiveness of marketing technology to increase circulation. To change the content or to change the marketing strategy – meaning or promotion and distribution? As Table 4 shows, both groups of editors believe more in the effectiveness of marketing technology to increase circulation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>NATIONWIDE EDITORS</th>
<th>REGIONAL EDITORS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>By changing content</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>35%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>By improving marketing strategy</td>
<td>56%</td>
<td>65%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4: How to increase circulation?

In other words, they doubt their ability to address their readers effectively. But as we demonstrate in the following part of this paper, there is another explanation for this skepticism: the editors downgrade their readers’ taste and reading competence. An open question on how to increase circulation was answered by both types of editors without any basic differences. They offered rather unspecific suggestions, which we categorized into the following recommendations (Table 5). The three top listed strategies are the same. A really big difference concerns only the preference of "personalization function". Generally speaking, nationwide and regional editors consider entertainment and design appearance as a solution to circulation problems. It is no surprise that editors of nationwide dailies believe in design logic less than editors working in the journalistic periphery. There is no doubt that these editorial strategies on how to increase circulation are strongly contaminated by commercial logic – the key attributes representing traditionally quality press are at the bottom of the list.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Categorised suggestions</th>
<th>NATIONWIDE EDITORS</th>
<th>REGIONAL EDITORS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>More entertainment, celebrity, more group</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>26%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Better layout, graphics, more photos</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>24%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Second dilemma: to give or not to give readers what they want?

Generally speaking, the majority of interviewed editors acquiesce to the key economic imperative “to adapt content to reader tastes”, therefore leading us to ask “how Czech editors think about their audience-readers, about their reading taste?" - do editors expect that their readers share their criteria for quality? At first we tried to identify what are indicators of quality dailies according to editors.

What are the attributes of quality newspapers?

The editors were asked to designate attributes of editorial quality (Table 7).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ATTRIBUTES</th>
<th>RATING: ACCORDING TO ALL EDITORS</th>
<th>EXPECTED INTEREST OF READERS</th>
<th>DIFFERENCE IN RATING</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Accuracy</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High ratio of staff written copy to wire services</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impartiality in reporting</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Topicality, in the first - To act news agenda</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stability and continuity editorial Policy</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Content diversity</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diversity of political columnist</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investigative enterprise</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High ratio of analytical materials to total content</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The ratio of “people” story to total Content</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Value plurality</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High ratio of cultural news and reviews to total content</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High ratio of sports news to total Content</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High ratio of business news to total content</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total amount of non-advertising Content</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High ratio of national news/local News</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5: How to increase circulation by changing content?

Table 6: What are the indicators of a good newspaper: the extent of agreement with following statements
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Table 6: What are the indicators of a good newspaper: the extent of agreement with following statements
They described in "open question" 28 different subjective criteria of press quality. Following that, they were categorized and editors were asked to rate the importance of each on a scale of "+3 to -3." Then we averaged and ranked the items. We listed the ranking of the twenty two most highly-valued attributes of quality. Editors of both kinds of newspapers agreed on the first four and last three. As the most frequent indicators of quality presented - accuracy, high ratio of staff written copy to wire services, impartiality and topicality. At the bottom ranking editors found "appearance-design" and tabloid attributes.

The greatest difference was between ranking "stability and continuity" in editorial policy (bold numbers). Nationwide editors explained the importance of this attribute by referring to the target group of readers who strongly identify with the political and value orientation of a newspaper. In fact, editors stress the important role of hardcore readers of given media. Another important indicator of professional homogeneity is the fact that both groups of editors rated as less important "appearance-design" attributes – it means layout and graphics, a front page photo, and high ratio of illustration to text. These attributes, however, editors regarded as the second most effective strategy for increasing circulation. It is an interesting indicator of inconsistence in opinion and we will focus on this problem in the following pages. In other words, editors are aware of the facts that top-rated attributes don't increase profitability of press and economic success. They legitimized very often their pragmatic attitudes by referring to the unstoppable trend of total commercialization of the newspaper sector.

According to editors, their idea of quality is completely different from attributes of quality which their readers prefer. Editors expected that among the five attributes to rank most highly only two would be accepted by readers – accuracy and impartiality in reporting (Table 7).

They assumed that besides the two mentioned attributes, readers would be more interested in a "high ratio of sports news to total content", the ratio of "people" story to total content and a front page photo.

The biggest gap between the two ratings was for the front page photo, high ratio of illustration to text, astrology, psychology and esoteric column, quality layout and graphics, and mentioned high ratio of sports news to total content (bold numbers). Those attributes that editors evaluated to be much higher in reader interest than as indicators of quality are undoubtedly indicators of tabloid editorial strategy. It seems to us that editors underrate their readers, who they assume to be indifferent to a number of attributes linked to quality – above all – high ratio of staff written copy to wire services, topicality and stability and continuity of editorial policy. This attitude is held by a generally numerous group of journalists working for quality media. As our older research indicated, more than one third of them would prefer a more educated audience and about a quarter of them perceive their readers as easily-manipulated (Table 8, 9).

We conclude that the second dilemma - to give or not to give what readers want - is resolved by a majority of the interviewed editors in the acceptance of a guessed reading taste of the audience, which they see as a tabloid. This intuitive conclusion is in many cases legitimized by media market research, as Table 4 demonstrates. The quality of this market analysis is very questionable and to editors they serve as an alibi for potential economic failure. It seems that Czech editors do not identify very much with their readers and regard their reading taste with scepticism. In other words, they produce symbolic commodities which they disdain.

Third dilemma: How to cope with the professional conflict – quality or tabloid strategy?

As a condition of entry into the professional field, journalists have to display a certain attachment to journalistic norms and ideals. This process of identification with professional values is not without pain, though, as these values are often in discrepancy with the imperatives of commercialization and tabloidization. Quality Czech journalists declare that they feel some tension between a balanced, objective description of reality and the demands to strengthen readability and sale of media content (Volek, 2007). It is clear that this editorial-marketing strategy weakens power and autonomy of individual journalists on the one hand and inhibits individual activity and creativity on the other. The majority of interviewed journalists confirmed that they do not like to adapt to market philosophy of their media but that they must do it. Table 10 shows that the most important reason for disillusionment in their career is the pressure of commercial and marketing logic.7

To analyze more tangibly these attitudes we implemented 18 in-depth exploratory interviews. All respondents were held of anonymous character of the interview. The average length of the interview was about one hour and it consisted of open-ended questions. All of the interviewed editors addressed all of topical categories when talking about their work. We selected interview into four sections: a/ attitudes to tabloid press practices, b/ degree of professional identification and satisfaction and c/ attitudes to readers.

In the first part of the interview respondents tried to define their attitude to quality and tabloid press. Half of them refused methods of tabloid press. Their arguments seemed to be both professional and personal.

"In my opinion tabloid practices are disgusting. They produce only sewage. …Well, I agree you could argue that they are "popular" and have a market but I don't really believe it. I am satisfied with my work and my satisfaction is only possible because in general I am not afraid of the new people which are coming to the newspaper. I believe that the editor in chief has the right to decide and the editor in chief is satisfied with the newspaper."

In our research 35% of journalists in "practicing self-censorship" do not covering stories that might offend advertisers or the owners of their news organizations. As well, 62% avoided stories that were 'too complex'.

Table 7: Audience of our media is easily-manipulated (Czech journalists, survey 2003)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Attribute</th>
<th>Nationwide Editors</th>
<th>Regional Editors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Completely agree</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rather agree</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completely-rather agree</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>26%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 9: Audience of our media is easily-manipulated (Czech journalists, survey 2003)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>REASONS FOR DISILLUSIONMENT</th>
<th>COMPLETELY - RATHER AGREE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I would prefer to address a more educated audience</td>
<td>35.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I would prefer to address a less educated audience</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I am satisfied with the composition of our audience</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 8: How satisfied are you with your media audience (Czech journalists, survey 2003)

7 In our research 35% of journalists in "practicing self-censorship" do not covering stories that might offend advertisers or the owners of their news organizations. As well, 62% avoided stories that were ‘too complex’.

We conclude that the second dilemma - to give or not to give what readers want - is resolved by a majority of the interviewed editors in the acceptance of a guessed reading taste of the audience, which they see as a tabloid. This intuitive conclusion is in many cases legitimized by media market research, as Table 4 demonstrates. The quality of this market analysis is very questionable and to editors they serve as an alibi for potential economic failure. It seems that Czech editors do not identify very much with their readers and regard their reading taste with scepticism. In other words, they produce symbolic commodities which they disdain.
This comment concerns old debate about possibility of existence of “high-brow” culture in mass newspapers. It is important that some of editors defined themselves as the “protectors of high culture”. But it seems to us that they use this rather hyperbolic comment to distinguish themselves from tabloid journalisms.

Their [tabloid journalist] key professional imperative is to write really hard-hitting stories to increase their sales. They are agents of mass culture industry and they are paid to disperse higher cultural requirements”.

But curiously, editors have no problem with accusation of making their newspaper commercial. They see the process of commercialization and tabloidization as a standard professional method how to effectively address their readers.

“We do what is commercialization?” It is everyday decision making about news selection, collecting of information, thinking about format. It’s earning the story and selling well”.

They strictly denied that commercialization must lower newspaper quality and overemphasized the role of objectivity and the „truth”. It seems to us that they use the concept objectivity as a strategic ritual to keep higher professional status.

“We do everything to make sure we are accurate, and that is good journalism. We are searching for the truth, we are reporting both sides of a story…. I assume that the qualities strive for some kind of truth. But they cannot use phrases like ‘we have heard that’…. ’Truth is not commercial or noncommercial. Antonym of the truth is lie’”.

Throughout the interviews we asked editors about characteristics of the people who buy their newspaper. Their answers varied from skeptical comments: (“majority of our readers is not very picky”) to very optimistic ones (“some of our readers are intellectuals”).

In some sense commercial principles make journalist more autonomous and give him opportunity to tell stories more boldly. They are not so restricted by conventions”.

“These new (ironically) market strategies push us aside. Our ideas and creativity is substituted by clear manipulation. Tabloidization makes journalists only puppets who have to strictly obey some basic professional imperatives”.

Throughout the interviews we asked editors about characteristics of the people who buy their newspaper. Their answers varied from skeptical comments: (“majority of our readers is not very picky”) to very optimistic ones (“some of our readers are intellectuals”).

“We write for the big masses which like scoops but do not want to read about hard-hitting scandals everyday. They want something like semi-quality, semi-tabloid, but reliable and entertaining press …. ha-ha. . .. Sorry I have to laugh. Interviewer: What are you laughing about? I realized that it is not standard quality press definition.”

Many answers referring to readers looked like citations from final research report. Some editors acknowledged that they use this type of audience analysis. It seems to us that statistically constructed images of audience are for editors more important than their journalistic professional instinct.

“We want to offer people a bit of entertainment. Our readers do not want to be educated by media”. Our target group consisted of readers who have grammar school at most. Only about ten percent were educated at university”.

Nevertheless, we also identified attitudinal contradictions here. Almost all interviewees perceived sales as a key aspect of evaluation of media success. What is important they spoke about their readers as customers. But when they defined their editorial philosophy they declared very often the aim to strengthen the role of civic society and the role of citizens, not customers. In many comments editors used their readers as tools to legitimize their moral and professional superiority over tabloids.

“Yes, a journalist is not here to change the world. But his goal is to offer readers some interpretations of reality. But problem is that these interpretations must be acceptable by our readers. If not, readers refuse them. They are something like our clients…. They are as they are and we have to communicate with them in their mental code. It’s the aim of editor to understand this code and to defend borders of elementary ethics and professional standards”.

“One of key and unique functions of quality papers is to serve as the guardians of civic morality”.

Throughout the interviews we asked editors how they feel to be quality journalists. How they are identified with it. Some respondents strictly distinguished themselves from the ‘other’ (tabloid) journalists who they see as a „professionally deviant”. They attach to them some type of inferior status. According to them they are not „regular journalists”.

“They discredit our public image and profession generally.”

“In the communist regime journalists were perceived by public as collaborators with old system. Today we are perceived as a crowd pleasers and hymns that are very well paid for scandalization of famous people. But we are quality journalists, in majority”.

According to majority of editors their work represents for quality press a significant part of their working satisfaction.

“I am happy to be a quality media journalist. In fact I started to work in qualities by chance” …. It sounds funny, but I cannot imagine to explain my children that their mummy works in tabloids”.

Many of answers revealed that editors working discomfort is connected with necessity to play a compromising professional double game strategy: to produce half-serious half-tabloid newspapers.

“I am completely dissatisfied with many of tabloid tricks. In my opinion they are not such effective as it is assumed. Our readers want to be addressed in a serious form and by serious journalists. I am not a tabloid journalist. But unfortunately I feel more often to be employed by a tabloid.”

“My colleague will quit his work next month because he is completely fed up with such journalism – big photo, short text, no analysis. In my opinion it is one of reasons of great job-hopping in quality media generally”.

“Many of my colleagues, younger than me quit their jobs because they don’t want to play role of crowd-pleaser. It is hard to be really identified with such a work”.

“Most all we select and publish marketable stories. …I know it is a little bit tabloid practice, but it is my occupation. I have to do it. Sometimes I am disgusted to do it…. Oftentimes I don’t agree with such editorial philosophy. But it is my job. It is a job that is not for everyone” (ironically).

“My colleague will quit his work next moth because he is completely fed up with such journalism – big photo, short text, no analysis. In my opinion it is one of reasons of great job-hopping in quality media generally”.

These interviews shed light on the ways in which the Czech quality press editors cope with everyday professional situations when they are pressed to abandon those professional standards which they consider to be the pillars of quality journalism. Majority of them described this professional situation as a troublesome one. According to them, commercialization of editorial policy made by marketers and advertisers reduce their professional autonomy and freedom. They feel helpless and alienated when they are urged to apply tabloid practices. Description of this mental state is close to the phenomenon of cognitive dissonance, defined as a situation in which we act in a way inconsistent with our beliefs or attitudes (Festinger, Carlsmith, 1959). To cope with this situation, editors use more or less unconsciously two types of “defensive mechanisms”: At first they use utilitarian strategy, which transforms public service function into a service for mass taste. By this they legitimate their semi-tabloid double game editorial practices. Secondly they compensate their tensions by deep identification with superior professional role and sell image of a quality journalist. To identify with it helps them to cope with an unbearable feeling to be like tabloid journalists.
feel a strong inner professional conflict, their individual journalistic work (Table 4), to be the most important factor determining newspaper success. They spect for their readers and underrate them. On the other hand, they consider effective marketing strategy, and not In other words, Czech editors are stuck between a rock and a hard place. On one hand, they have little re- acceptance of market criteria.

The phenomenon of a cognitive dissonance, defi-

We will sum up our observations in three points:

1. there seem to be ambivalent attitudes to the tabloid practices. Editors de facto articulated a dual assessment of their occupation,

2. this ambivalence indicates increasingly blurred lines between tabloid and quality journalism and serious doubt about identity of print media journalists,

3. majority of our respondents declared strong psychological discomfort caused by pressure to play a compromising role – to be semi-quality and semi-tabloid editors. They strive to master this mental discomfort by strong identification with work for quality media, which helps them to disguise real state of their journalistic practice.

Conclusion: double game of Czech editors and its effects

The debate concerning the threat of commercialization and tabloidization began belatedly in the Czech Republic, starting likewise as in many post-communist countries in the second half the 1990s and since then trying to react to the key professional dilemma of Czech quality press journalists: to be a moral agent focused on serious problems of society or a craftsman who has generally given up on higher standards of journalistic work and tries to conform to popular standard of general audience.

Czech quality newspaper editors experience this dilemma more intensely. Every day they have to deal with how to sell profitable ideas, attitudes and information which they consider important for the general welfare of society. Basically it seems to us that Czech newspaper editors use two types of editorial strategies and assessments of their work. The first takes the form of a manifesto defensive professional mechanism which is based on the idea of the journalist as an independent agent of the public, whose duty is to cultivate readers. The second approach is not so apparent. It is rather a latent legitimization of insubstantial changes in the field of journalistic craft and more significant from dominant economic and market logic. The majority of editors view that logic as the antithesis of quality journalism, but they give the match up. They resigned the fight against the dominant logic of commercialization and tabloidization of media sector.

We can conclude that both groups of editors - nationwide and regional - accept standard criteria for a quality newspaper but they do not believe that these criteria will be accepted by readers. They deal with this professional conflict by playing a compromising professional double game strategy: they produce half-serious half-tabloid newspapers. This professional situation is not psychologically comfortable for them, though. It is close to the phenomenon of a cognitive dissonance, defined as a situation in which we act in a way inconsistent with our beliefs or attitudes. When we experience this type of psychological discomfort we have a tendency to restore our sense of equanimity by forming attitudes that are consistent with our behaviors (Festinger, 1959). It seems that Czech newspaper editors and generally all Czech journalists perceive this problem to be very serious. In depth-interviews revealed that majority of editors acknowledged that their working discomfort and sense of being burned out is connected with necessity to play this professional double game. They perceive the schism between their professional values and values of their audience negatively. When they are pressured to bridge this problem of society or a craftsman who has generally given up on higher standards of journalistic work and tries to conform to popular standard of general audience.

This stressful state is confirmed by many editors who are not satisfied with their professional autonomy. They declare that the ideo-censorship has been substituted by profit-censorship in Czech media. However, it is clear that this process is not ideologically neutral. It is only that totalitarian strategy of ideologically violent domination has been replaced by a more sophisticated strategy of market-hegemonic forming of audience attitudes and tastes.
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